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Introduction

The objective of using Trabecular Metal Cemented Cone Implants is to achieve stability of the construct within the proximal tibia while allowing the compressive forces to physiologically load the surrounding bone (Figure 1).

The cone that is selected must offer the ability to:

- Reinforce the inner endoseal tibial cavity while maintaining viable bone
- Allow the stem of the selected NexGen Tibial Baseplate and the selected stem extension to be inserted through the cone and positioned into the intramedullary tibial canal
- Allow the entire assembled and seated construct (cone augment tibial baseplate/stem extension) to provide appropriate coverage of the proximal tibial surface

Significant tibial bone defects may be located near, or away from the center of the tibia (Figure 2). Therefore, both full and stepped tibial cones should be considered. Stepped cones may offer an advantage when one compartment of the tibia exhibits significant bone loss, while the opposite compartment has less damage.

US and Outside the US (excluding EU) Indications:
The Trabecular Metal Tibial Cone Augments are intended for use where severe degeneration, trauma, or other pathology of the knee joint indicates total knee arthroplasty. When used with the NexGen Complete Knee Solution – Rotating Hinge Knee (RHK) System, the Trabecular Metal Tibial Cone Augments are for cemented use only. When used with the NexGen Complete Knee Solution – Legacy Constrained Condylar Knee System, the Trabecular Metal Tibial Cone Augments are for cementless or cemented use.

EU Indications:
The Trabecular Metal Tibial Cone Augments are intended for use where severe degeneration, trauma, or other pathology of the knee joint indicates total knee arthroplasty. These are not intended for primary cases.

The Trabecular Metal Tibial Cone Augments are intended to be used for cementless fixation to host bone with NexGen Complete Knee Solution – Rotating Hinge Knee (RHK) and NexGen Complete Knee Solution – Legacy Constrained Condylar Knee System (LCCK). The use of cement is intended for fixation to RHK components for assembly.
In significant revision situations, positioning of the tibial baseplate is often dictated by the interaction of the stem extension (attached to the baseplate) and the intramedullary canal. Therefore, use of offset and/or straight stem extensions should be considered during the initial cone selection process. The cone will allow some A/P and M/L freedom of the stem extension, which varies depending upon:

- Size of the cone selected
- Diameter of the stem extension
- Location of the cone relative to the center of the IM canal

The tibia must be prepared for the assembled combination of the tibial baseplate, the stem extension and the selected cone augment. Repeated trialing of the various possible combinations help to simultaneously optimize:

- Fit of the cone in the defect area of the tibial cavity
- Coverage of the tibial surface
- Fit of the stem extension in the canal
- Preservation of good proximal tibial bone

Some compromises will likely be necessary to achieve stability of the entire construct.
Tibial Resection/Cone Preparation

Initial Proximal Tibial Resection
Ream the tibia to the appropriate diameter where stability of the reamer is gained. Remove the reamer and replace with the stem provisional adapter to which has been attached a straight stem extension provisional of the diameter of the last reamer used.

Cut the top of the tibia to the angle recommended for the baseplate using the appropriate IM tibial boom and appropriate tibial cutting guide. Remove the tibial cutting guides, leaving the reamer protruding from the tibia (Figure 3).

Initial Cone Selection
Select a tibial cone augment provisional component that approximates the size and depth of the defect and insert it over the reamer. Inverting the cone augment provisional will best simulate the proximal void that the selected cone will accommodate (Figure 4). This also will provide an estimate of the A/P and M/L position of the defect, relative to the center of the IM canal.
Baseplate/Stem Extension Selection and Initial Trialing

Stem Extension Provisional Selection

The NexGen System has several choices of stem extension components, in sharp fluted (press-fit) and cemented designs in various lengths (Figure 5). If the defect is central, a straight stem extension may be an optimal choice. An offset stem extension will allow up to 4.5 mm translation in any direction and may offer an option when the defect is based more toward the cortex (usually medial). Additionally, the distal opening of the cone will allow some translation of the stem extension within the cone. The amount of translation varies depending upon the size of cone and the diameter of stem extension selected (Figure 6).

Note: The NexGen Rotating Hinge (RH) Knee Tibial Baseplate will normally require use of a straight stem extension since the stem of the NexGen Rotating Hinge Knee Tibial Baseplate is longer than those of other NexGen Tibial Baseplates.

Tibial Baseplate Provisional Selection

Approximate the “best fit” tibial baseplate by using the provisional tibial sizing plates. Confirm your selection by assembling the selected stem extension provisional to the provisional stemmed tibial base plate and defining the suitability of the selection. The ability to achieve proper coverage of the proximal tibia and proper external tibial rotational alignment must be considered (Figure 7).
**Baseplate/Stem Extension Selection and Initial Trialing (cont.)**

**Tibial/Femoral Size Relationships**

Since use of cone implants in the tibia is generally only considered when significant loss of proximal tibial bone has been encountered, the normal tibiofemoral sizing relationships may no longer exist. Frequently the size of the tibia is significantly smaller than the patient’s tibia in the index procedure. It may be possible to “size up” the tibia by use of a tapered proximal tibial augment under the baseplate. This will move the location of the baseplate (and therefore, tibial joint line) proximally while at the same time, allow use of the next size larger tibial component (Figure 8). In the NexGen Knee System, this also allows use of the next size larger femoral component, which has a larger A/P dimension, tightening the flexion gap. At a minimum, it must be confirmed that the potential tibial baseplate and intended NexGen Legacy Constrained Condylar Knee (LCCK) or NexGen Rotating Hinge (RH) Knee Femoral Component selected will match the available articulation surface choices of the implant system.

**Initial Trial Positioning of the Assembly**

Insert the cone provisional into the void of the tibia (Figure 9). It is intended to independently position the cone provisional so that the wedge shape can subside to a point where it can carry compressive loads. It should be anticipated that the final seated position of the cone will be below the cut surface of the tibia. Attempt to insert the tibial baseplate and stem extension into the IM canal of the tibia. Ascertain if a compromise in one of the above components is necessary to achieve stability while minimizing bone loss and providing appropriate tibial coverage and orientation. It is important to make the decision to compromise one of the above selected components in favor of optimizing stability of the entire construct. If necessary, repeat initial trialing using different choices of cones, stem extensions, tapered augments and baseplate provisional components until it can be ascertained that the entire construct can be properly inserted and oriented.

**Note:** By design, the cone implant will fit similarly to or slightly tighter than the provisional.
Final Positioning of Cone in the Tibia

Tibial Modification to Allow Seating of the Cone
If the cone is to reinforce the tibia, allowing compressive forces to pass through the cone into the tibia, the cone must be in intimate contact with a significant portion of remaining good quality tibial bone. Using a high-speed burr, remove necessary “high spots” of bone in order to allow the cone provisional to subside and fully seat within the proximal tibia (Figure 10). When the cone is tightly seated, reinstall the intended provisional augments, baseplate, and stem extension components, confirming that the provisional stem extension can be inserted into the canal and that the remaining assembly can be properly positioned. Remove the provisional stem and baseplate leaving the provisional cone in place.

Filling Voids Outside of the Cone Augment
If voids exist between the outside of the provisional cone augment and the endoseal surface of the tibia, the surgeon should consider packing grafting material to fill the void (Figure 11). Pack morsalized grafting material around the outside of the cone provisional until maximal stability can be ascertained.

Final Trialing of the Entire Assembly
It is recommended that a last trial of the cone provisional assembly be conducted prior to cementing. If satisfactory, remove the provisional cone augment taking care to not disturb the packed graft mantle around the endosteal surface.
Implanting Components
Inserting the Cone Augment Implant

Apply bone cement (NexGen Rotating Hinge Knee or NexGen Legacy Constrained Condylar Knee (LCCK)) to the outside periphery of the cone implant and insert into the prepared tibial void (Figure 12). Alternatively, if press-fitting NexGen Legacy Constrained Condylar Knee (LCCK) only, insert cone implant directly into prepared tibial void. It should be noted that although the cone augment provisional and implant are the same dimension, the surface of the cone implant is porous and therefore a higher friction fit than the provisional component. The cone impactor can be used to guide the cone into position using gentle mallet taps (Figure 13). If utilizing the cemented technique, remove excess bone cement.

Note: If excessive force is used to seat the implant, tibial fracture may occur. Additional cancellous bone should be removed to allow implant insertion.

Cementing the Tibial Baseplate and Stem Implants

Properly assemble the stem extension and tibial baseplate implants in the proper orientation decided from previous trialing. Form bone cement on the bottom of the tibial baseplate so that it will fill the interior of the cone implant and also fills the internal cavity of the tibia (Figure 14). Insert the baseplate assembly into the cone augment. Verify correct rotational alignment and impact the implant assembly into position and remove excess bone cement (Figure 15).

Note: For EU (European Union)/CE mark countries, the use of cement is intended for fixation to RHK/LCCK components for assembly components for assembly.
Zimmer Biomet does not practice medicine. This technique was developed in conjunction with health care professionals. This document is intended for surgeons and is not intended for laypersons. Each surgeon should exercise his or her own independent judgment in the diagnosis and treatment of an individual patient, and this information does not purport to replace the comprehensive training surgeons have received. As with all surgical procedures, the technique used in each case will depend on the surgeon’s medical judgment as the best treatment for each patient. Results will vary based on health, weight, activity and other variables. Not all patients are candidates for this product and/or procedure.
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